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#### Abstract

The intention of this study is to introduce a new mixed Euler-Lagrange $k$-cubic-quartic functional equation and then to solve it for general solution. We study its various stabilities in quasi- $\beta$-normed spaces using fixed point technique, as well. We also provide counter-examples to show that the above equation is not stable for singular cases.
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## 1. Introduction \& Preliminaries

The starting point of studying the stability of functional equations seems to be the famous talk of Ulam [21] in 1940, in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

Let $G_{1}$ be a group and let $G_{2}$ be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon>0$, does there exists a $\delta>0$ such that if a mapping $h: G_{1} \longrightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x y), h(x) h(y))<$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then there exists a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \longrightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$.

[^0]The first partial answer, in the case of Cauchy equation in Banach spaces, to Ulam question was given by Hyers [ [] ]. Later, the result of Hyers was generalized in various forms by Aoki [T], T. M. Rassias [[T0], J. M. Rassias ([IT], [【2], [[I3]) and Gávruta [[7]. Since then, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of mathematicians [ [2], [5], [[4], [15], [[6], [[77], [[18], [25].

The motivation for studying cubic and quartic functional equations came from the fact that recently polynomial equations have found applications in approximate checking, self-testing and self-correcting of computer programs to compute certain polynomials, computational geometry and all related fields such as computer graphics, computer-aided design, computer-aided manufacturing and optics.

In this paper, we introduce a new mixed Euler-Lagrange $k$-cubic-quartic functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+k y)+f(k x+y)+ f(x-k y)+f(y-k x) \\
&=k^{2}[2 f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(y-x)]-2\left(k^{4}-1\right)[f(x)+f(y)] \\
&+\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)[f(2 x)+f(2 y)] \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is a real number with $k \neq 0, \pm 1$. We attain the general solution of equation ( $\mathbb{L} . \mathbb{1}$ ) and study its various stabilities in quasi- $\beta$-normed spaces. We also provide counter-examples to show that the equation (ㄸ.ᅦ) is not stable for singular cases.

Here, we summonup some fundamental notions related to $m$-additive symmetric mappings, generalized polynomial and quasi- $\beta$-normed spaces. For further details of $m$-additive symmetric mappings, one may refer $([3],[14],[20],[23],[24])$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be real vector spaces. A function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be additive if $g(u+v)=g(u)+g(v)$ for all $u, v \in X$. It is easy to see that $g(r u)=r g(u)$ for all $u \in X$ and all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ (the set of rational numbers).

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers). A function $H: X^{m} \rightarrow Y$ is called $m$-additive if it is additive in each of its variables. A function $H_{m}$ is called symmetric if $H_{m}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)=H_{m}\left(u_{\pi(1)}, u_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, u_{\pi(m)}\right)$ for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(m)\}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. If $H_{m}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ is $m$-additive symmetric map, then $H^{m}(u)$ will denote the diagonal $H_{m}(u, u, \ldots, u)$ for $u \in X$ and note that $H^{m}(r u)=r^{m} H^{m}(u)$ whenever $u \in X$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Such a function $H(x)$ will be called a monomial function of degree $m$ (assuming $H^{m} \not \equiv 0$ ). Furthermore the resulting function after substitution $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{\ell}=u$ and $u_{\ell+1}=u_{\ell+2}=\cdots=u_{m}=v$ in $H_{m}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ will be denoted by $H^{\ell, m-\ell}(u, v)$.

A function $q: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a generalized polynomial function of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that there exist $H^{0}(u)=H^{0} \in Y$ and $i$-additive symmetric functions $H_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow Y$ (for $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) such that $q(u)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} H^{i}(u)$, for all $u \in X$ and $H^{m} \not \equiv 0$.

For $A: X \rightarrow Y$, let $\Delta_{h}$ be the difference operator defined as follows:

$$
\Delta_{h} A(u)=A(u+h)-A(u)
$$

for $h \in X$. Furthermore, let $\Delta_{h}^{0} A(u)=A(u), \Delta_{h}^{1}=\Delta_{h} A(u)$ and $\Delta_{h} \circ \Delta_{h}^{m} A(u)=\Delta_{h}^{n+1} A(u)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $h \in X$. Here $\Delta_{h} \circ \Delta_{h}^{m}$ denotes the composition of the operators $\Delta_{h}$ and $\Delta_{h}^{m}$. For any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the functional equation $\Delta_{h}^{m+1} A(u)=0$ for all $u, h \in X$ is well studied. In explicit form the last functional equation can be written as

$$
\Delta_{h}^{m+1} A(u)=\sum_{j=0}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+1-j}\binom{m+1}{j} A(u+j h)=0
$$

The following theorem was proved by Mazur and Orlicz, and in greater generality by Djoković (see [4]).

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be real vector spaces, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A: X \rightarrow Y$, then the following are equivalent.
(1) $\Delta_{h}^{m+1} A(u)=0$ for all $u, h \in X$.
(2) $\Delta_{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m+1}} A\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ for all $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m+1} \in X$.
(3) $A(u)=H^{m}(u)+H^{m-1}(u)+\cdots+H^{2}(u)+H^{1}(u)+H^{0}(u)$ for all $u \in X$, where $H^{0}(u)=H^{0}$ is an arbitrary element of $Y$ and $H^{i}(u)(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ is the diagonal of an $i$-additive symmetric function $H_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow Y$.

We fix a real number $\beta$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ denote either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a linear space over $\mathbb{K}$. A quasi- $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a real-valued function on $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following:
(i) $\|u\| \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|u\|=0$ if and only if $u=0$.
(ii) $\|\lambda u\|=|\lambda|^{\beta} \cdot\|u\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $u \in \mathcal{F}$.
(iii) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|u+v\| \leq K(\|u\|+\|v\|)$, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$.

The pair $(\mathcal{F},\|\cdot\|)$ is called quasi- $\beta$-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi- $\beta$-norm on $\mathcal{F}$. The smallest possible $K$ is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$. A quasi- $\beta$-Banach space is a complete quasi- $\beta$-normed space.

A quasi- $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a $(\beta, p)$-norm $(0<p \leq 1)$ if $\|u+v\|^{p} \leq\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}$, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$. In this case, a quasi- $\beta$-Banach space is called a $(\beta, p)$-Banach space.

## 2. General solution of functional equation (I. ${ }^{\text {(I) }}$

In this section, let us assume $X$ and $Y$ to be vector spaces. In the upcoming results, we acquire the general solution of mixed Euler-Lagrange $k$-cubic-quartic functional equation (\|. $\boldsymbol{\|}$ ).

Theorem 2.1. An odd function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a solution of the functional equation (ㄴ.ᅦ) if and only if $f$ is of the form $f(x)=A^{3}(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^{3}(x)$ is the diagonal of the 3-additive symmetric map $A_{3}: X^{3} \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. Assume that $f$ satisfies the functional equation (ㄸ.ᅦ). Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(0,0)$, one finds that $f(0)=0$. Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(x, 0)$ in (山. $\mathbb{C}$ ) and using oddness of $f$, we get $f(2 x)=2^{3} f(x)$. Therefore $f(x)=A^{3}(x)+A^{2}(x)+A^{1}(x)+A^{0}(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^{0}(x)=A^{0}$ is an arbitrary element of $Y$, and $A^{i}(x)$ is the diagonal of the $i$-additive symmetric map $A_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow Y$ for $i=1,2,3$. By $f(0)=0$ and oddness of $f$, we get $A^{0}(x)=A^{0}=0$. Thus we have $A^{2}(x)=0$. It follows that $f(x)=A^{3}(x)+A^{1}(x)$ and $A^{n}(r x)=r^{n} A^{n}(x)$ then

$$
2^{3}\left(A^{3}(x)+A^{1}(x)\right)=2^{3} A^{3}(x)+2 A^{1}(x)
$$

Moreover $A^{1}(x)=0$. Therefore $f(x)=A^{3}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
Conversely assume that $f(x)=A^{3}(x)$. From $A^{3}(x+y)=A^{3}(x)+A^{3}(y)+3 A^{2,1}(x, y)+3 A^{1,2}(x, y)$, $A^{3}(r x)=r^{3} A^{3}(x), A^{2,1}(x, r y)=r A^{2,1}(x, y), A^{1,2}(x, r y)=r^{2} A^{1,2}(x, y)(x, y \in X, r \in \mathbb{Q})$, we see that $f$ satisfies (ㄴ.]), which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. An even function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(2 x)=16 f(x)$ is a solution of the functional equation (ㄸ.]) if and only if $f$ is of the form $f(x)=A^{4}(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^{4}(x)$ is the diagonal of the 4-additive symmetric map $A_{4}: X^{4} \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. Assume that $f$ satisfies the functional equation (ㄸ.ᅦ). Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(0,0)$, one finds that $f(0)=0$. Switching $(x, y)$ to $(x, 0)$ in (ㄸ.ᅦ) and using evenness of $f$, we get $f(k x)=k^{4} f(x)$. Therefore $f(x)=A^{4}(x)+A^{3}(x)+A^{2}(x)+A^{1}(x)+A^{0}(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $A^{0}(x)=A^{0}$ is an arbitrary element of $Y$, and $A^{i}(x)$ is the diagonal of the $i$-additive symmetric map $A_{i}: X^{i} \rightarrow Y$ for $i=1,2,3$. By $f(0)=0$ and eveness of $f$, we get $A^{0}(x)=A^{0}=0$. Thus we have $A^{3}(x)=A^{1}(x)=0$. It follows that $f(x)=A^{4}(x)+A^{2}(x)$ and $A^{n}(r x)=r^{n} A^{n}(x)$ then

$$
2^{4}\left(A^{4}(x)+A^{2}(x)\right)=2^{4} A^{4}(x)+2^{2} A^{2}(x)
$$

Moreover $A^{2}(x)=0$. Therefore $f(x)=A^{4}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Conversely assume that $f(x)=A^{4}(x)$. From $A^{4}(x+y)=A^{4}(x)+A^{4}(y)+4 A^{3,1}(x, y)+6 A^{2,2}(x, y)+4 A^{1,3}(x), A^{4}(r x)=r^{4} A^{4}(x), A^{3,1}(x, r y)=r A^{3,1}(x, y)$, $A^{2,2}(x, r y)=r^{2} A^{2,2}(x, y), A^{1,3}(x, r y)=r^{3} A^{1,3}(x, y)(x, y \in X, r \in \mathbb{Q})$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Various stabilities of functional equation (ㄸ.D)

Throughout this section, we assume that $X$ is a linear space and $Y$ is a $(\beta, p)$-Banach space with $(\beta, p)$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $K$ be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. For notational convenience, we define the difference operator for a given mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{k} f(x, y)=f(x+k y)+f(k x+y)+f(x-k y)+f(y-k x) \\
&-k^{2} {[2 f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(y-x)]+2\left(k^{4}-1\right)[f(x)+f(y)] } \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)[f(2 x)+f(2 y)]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.
Lemma 3.1. (see [22]). Let $i \in\{-1,1\}$ be fixed, $s, a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \geq 2$ and $\Psi: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with $\Psi\left(a^{i} x\right) \leq a^{i s \beta} L \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(a x)-a^{s} f(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \Psi(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a uniquely determined mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(a x)=a^{s} F(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{a^{s \beta}\left|1-L^{i}\right|} \Psi(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
By applying the above Lemma [3.], we investigate various stabilities of equation ([.\|) in quasi- $\beta$-normed spaces.

Theorem 3.2. Let $i \in\{-1,1\}$ be fixed. Let $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with $\phi\left(2^{i} x, 2^{i} y\right) \leq 2^{3 i \beta} L \phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{k} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $C: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying equation ([.]) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-C(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{2^{3 \beta}\left|1-L^{i}\right|} \Psi(x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$
\Psi(x)=\frac{4^{\beta} K}{k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}}\left[\phi(x, 0)+\frac{\phi(0,0)}{2^{\beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}}\right]
$$

Proof. Substituting $x=y=0$ in (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(0)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{\beta}}{7^{\beta} k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}} \phi(0,0) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, replacing $(x, y)$ by $(0, x)$ in ( 3.3 ) and using oddness of $f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)}{4}\left[f(2 x)-2^{3} f(x)\right]-\frac{7 k^{2}+8}{4} f(0)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, 0) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (3.5) in (3.6), one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(2 x)-2^{3} f(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \Psi(x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By Lemma ([.]), there exists a unique mapping $C: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $C(2 x)=2^{3} C(x)$ and

$$
\|f(x)-C(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{2^{3 \beta}\left|1-L^{i}\right|} \Psi(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let us show that $C$ is a cubic mapping. By (B.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{3 i n}} D_{k} f\left(2^{i n} x, 2^{i n} y\right)\right\|_{Y} & \leq 2^{-3 i n \beta} \phi\left(2^{i n} x, 2^{i n} y\right) \\
& \leq 2^{-3 i n \beta}\left(2^{3 i \beta} L\right)^{n} \phi(x, y) \\
& =L^{n} \phi(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\left\|D_{k} C(x, y)\right\|_{Y}=0$ for all $x, y \in X$, which implies that the mapping $C: X \rightarrow Y$ is cubic.

The following corollaries are direct outcomes of Theorem 3.2 pertinent to stability involving sum of powers of norms and mixed product-sum of powers of norms.

Corollary 3.3. Let $X$ be a quasi- $\alpha$-normed space with quasi- $\alpha$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, and let $Y$ be a $\left.\beta, p\right)$-Banach space with $(\beta, p)$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $c_{1}$, a be positive numbers with $a \neq \frac{3 \beta}{r}$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$
\left\|D_{k} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq c_{1}\left(\|x\|_{X}^{a}+\|y\|_{X}^{a}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $C: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying equation ([.]) and

$$
\|f(x)-C(x)\|_{Y} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{c_{1} K 4^{\beta}}{k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}\left(2^{3 \beta}-2^{a r}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(0, \frac{3 \beta}{r}\right) \\ \frac{c_{1} K 4^{\beta} 2^{a r}}{k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta} 2^{3 \beta}\left(2^{a r}-2^{3 \beta}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(\frac{3 \beta}{r}, \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$, where
Proof. The proof is obtained by taking $\phi(x, y)=c_{1}\left(\|x\|_{X}^{a}+\|y\|_{X}^{a}\right)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $L=\frac{2^{a r}}{2^{3 \beta}}$ in Theorem B2.

Corollary 3.4. Let $X$ be a quasi- $\alpha$-normed space with quasi- $\alpha$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, and let $Y$ be a $\left.\beta, p\right)$-Banach space with $(\beta, p)$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $c_{2}, r, s$ be positive numbers with $a=r+s \neq \frac{11 \beta}{\alpha}$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$
\left\|D_{k} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq c_{2}\left[\|x\|_{X}^{r}\|y\|_{X}^{s}+\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r+s}+\|y\|_{X}^{r+s}\right)\right]
$$

for all non-zero $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $C: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the equation (I.]) and

$$
\|f(x)-C(x)\|_{Y} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 c_{2} K 4^{\beta}}{k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}\left(2^{3 \beta}-2^{2 a r}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(0, \frac{3 \beta}{2 r}\right) \\ \frac{2 c_{2} K 4^{\beta} 2^{2 a r}}{2^{3 \beta} k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}\left(2^{3 \beta}-2^{2 a r}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(\frac{3 \beta}{2 r}, \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. By taking $\phi(x, y)=c_{2}\left[\|x\|_{X}^{r}\|y\|_{X}^{s}+\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r+s}+\|y\|_{X}^{r+s}\right)\right]$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $L=\frac{2^{2 a r}}{2^{3 \beta}}$ in Theorem [3.2, we arrive at the desired results.

The ensuing theorem includes the investigation of generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of equation ([.]) in quasi- $\beta$-normed spaces. Even though the proof is similar to Theorem 3.2 , for the sake of completeness, we present the entire proof of theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Let $i \in\{-1,1\}$ be fixed. Let $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with $\phi\left(2^{i} x, 2^{i} y\right) \leq 2^{4 i \beta} L \phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with the condition $f(2 x)=2^{4} f(x)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{u} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, y) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying equation ([.]) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{2^{4 \beta}\left|1-L^{i}\right|} \Psi(x) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$
\Psi(x)=\frac{K}{2^{\beta}}\left[\phi(x, 0)+\frac{\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}\left(7 k^{2}+8\right)^{\beta}}{8^{\beta}} \phi(0,0)\right]
$$

Proof. Plugging $(x, y)$ into $(0,0)$ in (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(0)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{\beta}}{7^{\beta} k^{2 \beta}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{\beta}} \phi(0,0) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, replacing $(x, y)$ by $(x, 0)$ in ( 5.8$)$ and eveness of $f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2\left[f(k x)-k^{4} f(x)+\frac{\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left(7 k^{2}+8\right)}{8} f(0)\right]\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, 0) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (3.TD) in (B.DD), one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(k x)-k^{4}(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \Psi(x) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By Lemma [.], there exists a unique mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $Q(k x)=k^{4} Q(x)$ and

$$
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{k^{4 \beta}\left|1-L^{i}\right|} \Psi(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$. It remains to show that $D$ is a quartic mapping. By (B.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{1}{k^{4 i n}} D_{k} f\left(k^{i n} x, k^{i n} y\right)\right\|_{Y} & \leq k^{-4 i n \beta} \phi\left(k^{i n} x, k^{i n} y\right) \\
& \leq k^{-4 i n \beta}\left(k^{6 i \beta} L\right)^{n} \phi(x, y) \\
& =L^{n} \phi(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\left\|D_{k} Q(x, y)\right\|_{Y}=0$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus the mapping $U: X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic. It is not hard to prove the uniquess of $D$, which completes the proof.

The forthcoming results are the applications of Theorem 3.5 to investigate stability of equation (【.]) in different versions devised by Th. M. Rassias and J. M. Rassias.
Corollary 3.6. Let $X$ be a quasi- $\alpha$-normed space with quasi- $\alpha$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, and let $Y$ be a $\left.\beta, p\right)$-Banach space with $(\beta, p)$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $c_{3}$, a be positive numbers with $a \neq \frac{4 \beta}{r}$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with the condition $f(2 x)=2^{4} f(x)$ satisfying

$$
\left\|D_{k} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq c_{1}\left(\|x\|_{X}^{a}+\|y\|_{X}^{a}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the equation (■.]) and

$$
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{c_{3} K}{2 \beta\left(k^{4 \beta}-k^{a r}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(0, \frac{4 \beta}{r}\right) \\ \frac{c_{3} K k^{a r}}{k^{4 \beta} 2^{\beta}\left(k^{a r}-k^{4 \beta}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(\frac{4 \beta}{r}, \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$, where

Proof. The proof follows directly by choosing $\phi(x, y)=c_{3}\left(\|x\|_{X}^{a}+\|y\|_{X}^{a}\right)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $L=\frac{k^{a r}}{k^{4 \beta}}$ in Theorem 5.5.

Corollary 3.7. Let $X$ be a quasi- $\alpha$-normed space with quasi- $\alpha$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, and let $Y$ be a $(\beta, p)$-Banach space with $(\beta, p)$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $c_{4}, r, s$ be positive numbers with $a=r+s \neq \frac{4 \beta}{r}$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with the condition $f(2 x)=2^{4} f(x)$ satisfying

$$
\left\|D_{k} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq c_{2}\left[\|x\|_{X}^{r}\|y\|_{X}^{s}+\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r+s}+\|y\|_{X}^{r+s}\right)\right]
$$

for all non-zero $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the equation (ㄴ.]) and

$$
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 c_{4} K}{2^{\beta}\left(k^{4 \beta}-k^{2 a r}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(0, \frac{4 \beta}{2 r}\right) \\ \frac{2 c_{4} K k^{2 a r}}{k^{6 \beta} 2^{\beta}\left(k^{2 a r}-k^{4 \beta}\right)}\|x\|_{X}^{a}, & a \in\left(\frac{4 \beta}{2 r}, \infty\right),\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Considering $\phi(x, y)=c_{4}\left[\|x\|_{X}^{r}\|y\|_{X}^{s}+\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r+s}+\|y\|_{X}^{r+s}\right)\right]$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $L=\frac{k^{2 a r}}{k^{4 \beta}}$ in Theorem 3.5, we arrive at the desired results.

## 4. Counter-examples

In order to justify that the functional equation (ㄸ.ᅦ) is not stable for singular cases when $a=\frac{3 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary 3.3 and $a=\frac{4 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary [3.6, respectively, motivated from the renowned counter-example given by Z. Gajda [6], we illustrate counter-examples in this section.

Consider the function

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
x^{3}, & \text { for }|x|<1  \tag{4.1}\\
1, & \text { for }|x| \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $f$ serves as a counter-example for the fact that the functional equation ([1. $\mathbb{1}$ ) is not stable for $a=\frac{3 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary 3.3 in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. If the function $f$ defined in (4.2) satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{k} f(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{8^{3} \delta}{7}\left(|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{9 k^{4}+7 k^{2}}{2}>0$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then there do not exist a cubic mapping $C: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)-C(x)| \leq \epsilon|x|^{3}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. First, let us show that $f$ satisfies (4.3).

$$
|f(x)|=\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n} x\right)\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3 n}}=\frac{8}{7}
$$

Therefore, $f$ is bounded by $\frac{8}{7}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. If $|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}=0$ or $|x|^{3}+|y|^{3} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\left|D_{k} f(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{8 \delta}{7} \leq \frac{8^{2} \delta}{7}\left(|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}\right)
$$

Suppose that $0<|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}<\frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a non-negative integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}<\frac{1}{2^{k}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $2^{k}|x|^{3}<1,2^{k}|y|^{3}<1$ and $2^{n}(k x+y), 2^{n}(x+k y), 2^{n}(x-k y), 2^{n}(y-k x), 2^{n}(x+y), 2^{n}(x-y), 2^{n}(y-x)$ $2^{n} x, 2^{n} y \in(-1,1)$ for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Hence for $n=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right) & +\varphi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right) \\
& -k^{2}\left[2 \varphi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\varphi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right] \\
& +2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\varphi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right]=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $f$ and the inequality (4.4), one obtains that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|D_{s} f(x, y)\right| \\
&= \mid \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right) \\
&+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right) \\
&-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} k^{2}\left[2 \varphi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right]-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\varphi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right]+2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right] \mid \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \mid \varphi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right) \\
&+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right)-k^{2}\left[2 \varphi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\varphi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right]+2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\varphi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \delta=\frac{2^{3(1-k)} \delta}{7} \leq \frac{8^{3} \delta}{7}\left(|x|^{3}+|y|^{3}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $f$ satisfies (4.3) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, claim that the functional equation ( $\mathbb{L}$ ) is not stable for $a=\frac{3 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary [3.3]. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a cubic mapping $C: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)-C(x)| \leq \epsilon|x|^{3}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $C(x)=c x^{3}$ for all rational numbers $x$ (see [ $[9]$ ). So one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leq(\epsilon+|c|)|x|^{3} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m+1>\epsilon+|c|$. If $x$ is a rational number in $\left(0,2^{-m}\right)$, then $2^{n} x \in(0,1)$ for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots, m$, and for this $x$, one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-3 n} \varphi\left(2^{n} x\right) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{m} 2^{-3 n}\left(2^{n} x\right)^{3}=(m+1) x^{3}>(\epsilon+|c|) x^{3} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (4.7). Hence the functional equation (ㄴ.7) is not stable for $a=\frac{3 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary 3.3 .
Consider again the function

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
x^{4}, & \text { for }|x|<1  \tag{4.9}\\
1, & \text { for }|x| \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $f$ serves as a counter-example for the fact that the functional equation ([ID) is not stable for $a=\frac{4 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary [.6] in the following theorem. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.D, but for the comprehensiveness, we give below the entire proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.2. If the function $f$ defined in (4.10) satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{k} f(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{16^{3} \delta}{15}\left(|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{9 k^{4}+7 k^{2}}{2}>0$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then there do not exist a quartic mapping $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)-Q(x)| \leq \epsilon|x|^{4}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. First, let us show that $f$ satisfies (4.1]).

$$
|f(x)|=\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n} x\right)\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{4 n}}=\frac{16}{15}
$$

Therefore, $f$ is bounded by $\frac{16}{15}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. If $|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}=0$ or $|x|^{4}+|y|^{4} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\left|D_{k} f(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{16 \delta}{15} \leq \frac{16^{2} \delta}{15}\left(|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}\right)
$$

Suppose that $0<|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}<\frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a non-negative integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}<\frac{1}{2^{k}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $2^{k}|x|^{4}<1,2^{k}|y|^{4}<1$ and $2^{n}(k x+y), 2^{n}(x+k y), 2^{n}(x-k y), 2^{n}(y-k x), 2^{n}(x+y), 2^{n}(x-y), 2^{n}(y-x)$ $2^{n} x, 2^{n} y \in(-1,1)$ for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Hence for $n=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right) \\
-k^{2}\left[2 \phi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right] \\
-\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\phi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right] \\
\quad+2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\phi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right]=0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the definition of $f$ and the inequality (4.12), one obtains that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D_{k} f(x, y)\right|= & \mid \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right) \\
& -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} k^{2}\left[2 \phi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right]-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\phi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right]+2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right] \mid \\
\leq & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \mid \phi\left(2^{n}(x+k y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(k x+y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(x-k y)\right) \\
& +\phi\left(2^{n}(y-k x)\right)-k^{2}\left[2 \phi\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(y-x)\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left[\phi\left(2^{n}(2 x)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)\right] \\
& +2\left(k^{4}-1\right)\left[\phi\left(2^{n}(x)\right)+\phi\left(2^{n}(y)\right)\right] \mid \\
\leq & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \delta=\frac{2^{4(1-k)} \delta}{15} \leq \frac{16^{3} \delta}{15}\left(|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $f$ satisfies ([.]) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, claim that the functional equation (I.I) is not stable for $a=\frac{4 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary [3.6. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a quartic mapping $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)-Q(x)| \leq \epsilon|x|^{4}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Q(x)=c x^{4}$ for all rational numbers $x$ (see [ 9$]$ ). So one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leq(\epsilon+|c|)|x|^{4} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m+1>\epsilon+|c|$. If $x$ is a rational number in $\left(0,2^{-m}\right)$, then $2^{n} x \in(0,1)$ for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots, m$, and for this $x$, one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4 n} \phi\left(2^{n} x\right) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{m} 2^{-4 n}\left(2^{n} x\right)^{4}=(m+1) x^{4}>(\epsilon+|c|) x^{4} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (4.I.7). Hence the functional equation (ㄴ.7) is not stable for $a=\frac{4 \beta}{r}$ in Corollary [..6).
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